



The Fetal State of Male Contraception

Social, Economic and Structural
Hurdles of Development

"When you say male contraception, people are like 'oh, that's an interesting thing'. You have to put 'male' in front of it for them to understand that you don't mean the 'normal' contraception ..."

– Expert on Male Contraception, Thesis Interview 1

Why Male Contraception?

Universal access is key.

Universal access to reproductive and contraceptive health care is a consistent challenge.

Contraceptive choice is limited for men.

Whilst there is a broad spectrum of methods for women to prevent conception, contraceptive choice for men is limited to condoms and vasectomies.

Vasectomies and condoms are not enough.

As vasectomies are designed to be irreversible, and condoms fail 13 percent of the time in typical use, the contemporary contraceptive method mix is considered inadequate.

No new methods have become available.

Still, in over fifty years of male contraceptive research, no new methods have been released to market.

The „fetal state“ of male contraception



Technology development is in early stage.

There are large knowledge gaps, especially in non-hormonal contraception. Since space and funding for research are strongly limited, there is only little opportunity to explore promising targets for male contraception.



There is no commercial market developed yet.

Despite potential for large revenue, the market for male contraception is practically non-existent. Contraceptives are known for high failure rates in development, there is a threat of litigation and skepticism regarding acceptability. This renders investments high risk and hinders market development.



Men are not considered in their full reproductive capacity.

Male contraception is perceived as rather exceptional. Decades of reproductive health care targeting women as primary users of contraception established gendered norms and habits that disadvantage male patients and male contraceptive usage.

Economic Factors



There is a general lack of funding.

“You can have someone do all of these studies that regulatory bodies are going to find important, but it's crazy expensive. (...) So funding is always a challenge.”

– Expert on Male Contraception, Thesis Interview 1

Private funding is abysmal.

“[T]he male birth control market is massive. It is billions upon billions of dollars.(...) I think there is a very real market here with real returns for investors. What we have in front of us is creating an entirely brand-new market and there's very little amount of money in the world that's worth that.”

– Expert on Male Contraception, Thesis Interview 3

Governments have little interest to invest.

“[O]verpopulation or control of population is not the problem at all here. (...). That's why it's not a priority. I got a grant (...) [b]ut if you take a big picture, you see that most of the funds goes to other areas.”

– Expert on Male Contraception, Thesis Interview 8

Social Factors



Women are considered responsible for reproductive health.

“I mean, it's a thing for a girl to go to a gynecologist as a young girl for a first appointment, right? There's nothing close to that on the male side, like it would never cross a father's mind or parents' mind, or even this teenager's mind to do it.”

— Expert on Male Contraception, Thesis Interview 7

The standards for contraceptives are high.

“Because there are relative unknowns and there haven't been products like this before they are also setting the bar very high to say like, well, we got to be sure about this.”

— Expert on Male Contraception, Thesis Interview 1



Structural Factors

Regulatory requirements are unclear.

"[Y]ou do not know what the federal agency wants to see before its approval. Is it like female matter or is it different?"

— Expert on Male Contraception, Thesis Interview 4

There is no medical infrastructure for male contraceptives.

"[O]ur whole commercial infrastructure is geared toward women. Men do not go to the gynecologist. But those are the kind of doctors that we go to where we (...) have an existing sales force."

— Expert on Male Contraception, Thesis Interview 9

Data and knowledge about male reproduction is incomplete.

"And they [scientific organizations] always express concern about testicular contraception because there's not enough research on the topic. (...) The point is that research is precisely what we're trying to do at the moment."

— Expert on Male Contraception, Thesis Interview 7

Medical trials need to consider two reproductive bodies.

"[Y]ou have to enroll with female partners.(...) You have to have cycle tracking, a whole bunch of things that make a clinical trial big and hard."

— Expert on Male Contraception, Thesis Interview 1

How to overcome these hurdles?

Starting points

- ✓ Raise awareness for contraceptive counseling for people who produce sperm.
- ✓ Create medical centers for male reproductive health to build expertise on contraception and offer training to medical personnel.
- ✓ Ensure that current contraceptive options (vasectomies, condoms) are covered by public health insurance to strengthen access to male contraceptives already today.
- ✓ Form public-private partnerships to de-risk investment in contraceptive development.

“I think that more male partners stepping up and telling their stories about their involvement in reproduction, their roles as reproductive beings is important. I want men telling their abortion stories.”

– Expert on Male Contraception, Thesis Interview 1



“I would like to have all the possibilities. The natural possibility and the big artificial possibility and the sure method and insecure method, the very dangerous method and very safe method. Because I'm sure during my way of life I'm not the same. (...) The line is very personal.”

– Expert on Male Contraception, Thesis Interview 5



Outlook

Promising Male Contraceptives In Development*

- **NEST/T**: a **transdermal gel** that is applied to the shoulders daily and contains the hormones *Nestosterone* and *Testosterone*. It is in stage 2b clinical trial to test the efficacy of the gel to temporarily inhibit sperm production. Preliminary results in seventeen locations worldwide are promising, raising hopes for a stage 3 trial.
- **DMAU**: an **intramuscular injection** of the hormone Dimethandrolone undecanoate. DMAU has been tested and well tolerated for a period of 28 days in form of a daily oral pill. It is in consideration for long-term studies (stage 1).
- **EP055**: non-hormonal **oral pill** that disables the protein EPPIN. EPPIN resides at the surface of sperm and is responsible for the movement of sperm post ejaculation (motility). Animal studies of EP055 have shown high efficacy with low adverse effects, paving the way for in-human studies in the upcoming years.
- **Thermal Methods**: aim to increase the temperature of the scrotum to inhibit the production of sperm (spermatogenesis). E.g. contraceptive underwear and rings that place the testes close to the body; testes baths. Research is in early stages.

*status quo as of September 2023



Contact

Ophelia Wach
Technical University Munich
ophelia.wach@tum.de

References

Why Male Contraception

Gaffield, M. E., & Kiarie, J. (2022). Setting global standards: The paramount importance of considering contraceptive values and preferences of clients and providers. *Contraception*, 111, 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2018.08.006>

Haakenstad, A., Angelino, O., Irvine, C. M. S., Bhutta, Z. A., Bienhoff, K., Bintz, C., Causey, K., Dirac, M. A., Fullman, N., Gakidou, E., Glucksmann, T., Hay, S. I., Henry, N. J., Martopullo, I., Mokdad, A. H., Mumford, J. E., Lim, S. S., Murray, C. J. L., & Lozano, R. (2022). Measuring contraceptive method mix, prevalence, and demand satisfied by age and marital status in 204 countries and territories, 1970–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet*, 400(10348), 295–327. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(22\)00936-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00936-9)

Plana, O. (2017). Male Contraception: Research, New Methods, and Implications for Marginalized Populations. *American Journal of Men's Health*, 11(4), 1182–1189. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1557988315596361>

Policy Cures Research. (2020). G-FINDER SRH Report 2020.

Reynolds-Wright, J. J., & Anderson, R. A. (2019). Male contraception: Where are we going and where have we been? *BMJ Sexual and Reproductive Health*, 45(4), 236–242. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjshr-2019-200395>

Ross, J., & Stover, J. (2013). Use of modern contraception increases when more methods become available: analysis of evidence from 1982–2009. *Global Health, Science and Practice*, 1(2), 204–212.

Sundaram, A., Vaughan, B., Kost, K., Bankole, A., Finer, L., Singh, S., & Trussell, J. (2017). Contraceptive Failure in the United States: Estimates from the 2006–2010 National Survey of Family Growth. *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*, 49(1), 7–16. <https://doi.org/10.1363/psrh.12017>

Thirumalai, A., & Amory, J. K. (2021). Emerging approaches to male contraception. *Fertility and Sterility*, 115(6), 1369–1376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2021.03.047>

Vahdat, H. L., Shane, K., & Nickels, L. M. (2020). The role of team science in the future of male contraception. *Biology of Reproduction*, 103(2), 167–175. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/iaaa086>

Wang, C., Festin, M. P. R., & Swerdloff, R. S. (2016). Male Hormonal Contraception: Where Are We Now? *Current Obstetrics and Gynecology Reports*, 5(1), 38–47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13669-016-0140-8>

The „fetal state“ of male contraception

Almeling, R. (2020). *GUYnecology. The missing science of men's reproductive health*. University of California Press.

Barratt, C. L. R., de Jonge, C. J., Anderson, R. A., Eisenberg, M. L., Garrido, N., Rautakallio Hokkanen, S., Krausz, C., Kimmins, S., O'Bryan, M. K., Pacey, A. A., Tüttelmann, F., & Veltman, J. A. (2021). A global approach to addressing the policy, research and social challenges of male reproductive health. *Human Reproduction Open*, 2021(1). <https://doi.org/10.1093/hropen/hoab009>

Kimport, K. (2018a). Talking about male body-based contraceptives: The counseling visit and the feminization of contraception. *Social Science and Medicine*, 201, 44–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.01.040>

Kimport, K. (2018b). More Than a Physical Burden: Women's Mental and Emotional Work in Preventing Pregnancy. *Journal of Sex Research*, 55(9), 1096–1105. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2017.1311834>

Policy Cures Research (2020)

Reynolds-Wright & Anderson (2019)

Yeh, P. T., Kautsar, H., Kennedy, C. E., & Gaffield, M. E. (2022). Values and preferences for contraception: A global systematic review. *Contraception*, 111, 3–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2022.04.011>

Economic Factors

Barratt, et al. 2021

Callahan, R. L., Mehta, N. J., Nanda, K., & Kopf, G. S. (2020). The new contraceptive revolution: Developing innovative products outside of industry. *Biology of Reproduction*, *103*(2), 157–166. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioaa067>

Campo-Engelstein, L. (2011). No more larking around! Why we need male LARCs. *Hastings Center Report*, *41*(5), 22–26. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1552-146X.2011.tb00137.x>

Johnston, D. S., & Goldberg, E. (2020). Preclinical contraceptive development for men and women. *Biology of Reproduction*, *103*(2), 147–156. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioaa076>

Matzuk, M. M., Georg, G. I., & Yan, W. (2020). A special issue on contraceptive development: Past, present, and future. *Biology of Reproduction*, *103*(2), 145–146. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioaa120>

Policy Cures Research (2020)

Sitruk-Ware, R., Nath, A., & Mishell, D. R. (2013). Contraception technology: Past, present and future. *Contraception*, *87*(3), 319–330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2012.08.002>

Vahdat et al. (2020)

Yuen, F., Nguyen, B. T., Swerdloff, R. S., & Wang, C. (2020). Continuing the search for a hormonal male contraceptive. *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, *66*, 83–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2020.02.003>

Social Factors

Almeling (2020)

Campo-Engelstein (2011)

Heise, L., Greene, M. E., Opper, N., Stavropoulou, M., Harper, C., Nascimento, M., Zewdie, D., Darmstadt, G. L., Greene, M. E., Hawkes, S., Henry, S., Heymann, J., Klugman, J., Levine, R., Raj, A., & Rao Gupta, G. (2019). Gender inequality and restrictive gender norms: framing the challenges to health. *The Lancet*, *393*(10189), 2440–2454. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)30652-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30652-X)

Jones, K. (2020). "Men Too": Masculinities and Contraceptive Politics in Late Twentieth Century Britain. *Contemporary British History*, *34*(1), 44–70. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13619462.2019.1621170>

Kimport (2018a)

Kimport (2018b)

Plana (2017)

Oudshoorn, N., & Pinch, T. (2003). How users matter: the co-construction of users and technology (inside technology). the MIT Press.

Oudshoorn, N. (2003). *The Male Pill. A Biography of a Technology in the Making*. Duke University Press.

Waites, G. M. (2003). Development of methods of male contraception: impact of the World Health Organization Task Force. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-0282\(03](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-0282(03)

Structural Factors

Abbe, C., & Roxby, A. (2020). Assessing safety in hormonal male contraception: A critical appraisal of adverse events reported in a male contraceptive trial. *BMJ Sexual and Reproductive Health*, 46(2), 139–145. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsex-2018-200206>

Callahan et al. (2020)

ChoGlueck, C. (2022). Still no pill for men? Double standards & demarcating values in biomedical research. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science*, 91, 66–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsa.2021.11.010>

Plana (2017)

van Kammen, J., & Oudshoorn, N. (2002). Gender and risk assessment in contraceptive technologies. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 24(4), 436–461.

Yuen, F., Nguyen, B. T., Swerdloff, R. S., & Wang, C. (2020). Continuing the search for a hormonal male contraceptive. *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 66, 83–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2020.02.003>

Wang et al. (2020)

Promising Male Contraceptives in Development

Chakraborty, A. (2021). Chemical Biology LETTERS Regulatory proteins in sperm motility: A review and development of non-hormonal male contraceptives. *Chemical Biology Letters Chem. Biol. Lett.*, 2021(1), 10–17. <http://thesciencein.org/cbl>

Jones, A. (2023). Why Is Male Birth Control Taking So Long? POPSUGAR Fitness UK. <https://www.popsugar.co.uk/fitness/male-birth-control-timeline-49052271>

Joubert, S., Tcherdukian, J., Mieuxset, R., & Perrin, J. (2022). Thermal male contraception: A study of users' motivation, experience, and satisfaction. *Andrology*, 10(8), 1500–1510. <https://doi.org/10.1111/andr.13264>

Kandeel, F. R., & Swerdloff, R. S. (1988). Role of temperature in regulation of spermatogenesis and the use of heating as a method for contraception. *Fertility and Sterility*, 49(1).

Mieuxset, R., & B'Ujan, L. (1994). The potential of mild testicular heating as a safe, effective and reversible contraceptive method for men. *International Journal of Andrology*, 17(4), 186–191. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2605.1994.tb01241.x>

NIH. (2021, July 9). Injectable DMAU for Male Contraception in Healthy Male Volunteers (CCN015) . Clinicaltrials.Gov. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/record/NCT02927210?recrs=abdf&cond=male+contraception&draw=2&rank=1>

NIH. (2022, December 20). Study of Daily Application of Nestorone® (NES) and Testosterone (T) Combination Gel for Male Contraception. Clinicaltrials.Gov. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03452111?recrs=abdf&cond=male+contraception&draw=2&rank=2>

O'Rand, M. G., Hamil, K. G., Adevai, T., & Zelinski, M. (2018). Inhibition of sperm motility in male macaques with EP055, a potential non-hormonal male contraceptive. *PLoS ONE*, 13(4). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195953>

O'Rand, M. G., Silva, E. J. R., & Hamil, K. G. (2016). Non-hormonal male contraception: A review and development of an Eppin based contraceptive. *Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 157, 105–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2015.11.004>

Perrin, J., Joubert, S., Tcherdukian, J., & Mieuxset, R. (2022). Thermal Male Contraception: A Study Of User's Motivations, Experience And Satisfaction. *Andrology*, 1–125.

Population Council. (2023). Nestorone®/Testosterone Transdermal Gel for Male Contraception. <https://www.popcouncil.org/research/nestorone-testosterone-transdermal-gel-for-male-contraception>

Reynolds-Wright & Anderson (2019)

Sailer, J., & Sitruk-Ware, R. (2022, March 27). Advancing Health Equity Through Contraception. <https://www.popcouncil.org/news/advancing-health-equity-through-contraception>

Slow Contraception. (2022). Pétition. Pour l'équité contraceptive, maintenant. <https://slowcontraception.com/petition-pour-lequite-contraceptive-maintenant/>

Soufir, J. C. (2017). Hormonal, chemical and thermal inhibition of spermatogenesis: Contribution of French teams to international data with the aim of developing male contraception in France. *Basic and Clinical Andrology*, 27(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12610-016-0047-2>

Thirumalai & Amory (2021).

Thirumalai, A., Ceponis, J., Amory, J. K., Swerdloff, R., Surampudi, V., Liu, P. Y., Bremner, W. J., Harvey, E., Blithe, D. L., Lee, M. S., Hull, L., Wang, C., & Page, S. T. (2018). Effects of 28 Days of Oral Dimethandrolone Undecanoate in Healthy Men: A Prototype Male Pill. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 104(2), 423–432. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2018-01452>

Wang, C., Blithe, D., Page, S., Serfaty, D., & Ware, R. S. (2022). Progress in male contraception: A brief summary of the Third International Congress on Male Contraception, May 2022. In *Andrology*. John Wiley and Sons Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1111/andr.13261>

Weiss, R. (2023). COSO - Contraception for men. Developing a user-centered approach. <https://rebecca-weiss.de/coso>